## Typification of the Genus *Fordiophyton* (Melastomataceae) and Two New Combinations from China

Deng Yunfei and Wu Delin (Wu Telin)

South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510650, People's Republic of China. yfdeng@scib.ac.cn

ABSTRACT. Fordiophyton faberi Stapf is selected as the type of Fordiophyton Stapf (Melastomataceae), which has not been typified before. Based on the characters of eight dimorphic and unequal stamens with the anther connectives not calcarate at the base, *Stapfiophyton* Li is recognized as a synonym of Fordiophyton Stapf. This results in two new combinations, Fordiophyton degeneratum (C. Chen) Y. F. Deng & T. L. Wu and F. breviscapum (C. Chen) Y. F. Deng & T. L. Wu.

Key words: China, Fordiophyton, Melastomataceae, Stapfiophyton.

Fordiophyton Stapf (Melastomataceae) comprises 11 species, including two new combinations proposed in the present paper, distributed in China and Vietnam. The genus is characterized by eight dimorphic and unequal stamens with the anther connectives not calcarate at the base (Li, 1944; How, 1958; Chen, 1984b; Renner, 1993; Hansen, 1992; Cellinese, 2002). Fordiophyton is affined to *Phyllagathis* Blume, but differs in its eight stamens (vs. 4 or 8, or rarely 10), unequal (vs. equal or subequal), and anther connectives not calcarate at the base (vs. usually short-calcarate). Fordiophyton is also close to Sarcopyramis Wallich in habit, which is acaulescent or subacaulescent, but differs in its striking stamen dimorphism and capsule morphology (Cellinese, 2002).

The name Fordiophyton was established in 1892 and contained two Chinese species, *F. cantonense* Stapf and *F. faberi* Stapf. Typification for the genus is necessary since the type of the genus was not originally designated by Stapf in 1892. Both species correspond to the original description of *Fordiophyton. Fordiophyton cantonense* was established by Stapf (1892) as a new combination based on *Sonerila fordii*, but the name is illegitimate according to Article 52.1 of the current ICBN (Greuter et al., 2000). Krasser (1893) correctly made a new combination, *Fordiophyton fordii* (Oliver) Krasser, for this species. Therefore, we select *F. faberi* Stapf as the lectotype for the genus.

Gymnagathis Stapf, typified by G. peperomiaefol-

Novon 14: 428–430. 2004.

ia (Oliver) Stapf (basionym: Sonerila peperomiaefolia Oliver), based on collections from Kwangtung (Guangdong), China, was established by Stapf (1892) and accepted by Krasser (1893) and Diels (1932). Unfortunately, none of these authors noticed that Gymnagathis was an illegitimate generic name based on the prior homonym *Gymnagathis* Schauer (Linnaea 17: 243. 1843), which belongs to the Myrtaceae, now generally placed as a synonym of Melaleuca L. In 1944, Li proposed a new generic name, Stapfiophyton, to replace the illegitimate name Gymnagathis Stapf. Li (1944) stated that Fordiophyton is closely related to Phyllagathis in its herbaceous habit and stamen structure, but differs in the habit of acaulescent or subacaulescent herb, the cymose-subracemose inflorescence, and strongly dimorphic stamens in two series. He recognized three species including his two new combinations, S. elattandrum and S. tetrandrum, which were originally described in the genus *Phyllagathis* by Diels (1932) and then re-transferred into Phyllagathis by Chen (1984a) and Hansen (1992). Stapfiophyton tetrandrum, a subacaulescent herb, has umbellate inflorescences and is one of the few species with only four stamens. Stapfiophyton elattandrum has subequal stamens and is one of the few species with four fertile stamens and four staminodes. Placement of S. elattandrum and S. tetrandrum confused the boundaries of Stapfiophyton.

Hu (1952) described a fourth species, *Stapfiophyton erectum* S. Y. Hu, based on collections from Yunnan Province. She pointed out that it can be placed either in *Phyllagathis* or *Stapfiophyton* by its disintegrating fruit placenta, and she chose *Stapfiophyton* because of its cymose-paniculate inflorescences. This fourth species, with eight subequal stamens and anther connectives with short spurs, was transferred to *Phyllagathis* by Chen (1984a, 1984b).

Chen (1984a) argued that Li (1944) confused the concept of *Stapfiophyton* with *Phyllagathis* and redelimited the genus as characterized by the acaulescent or subacaulescent habit, the cymose-subracemose inflorescences, eight stamens in two unequal series, the longest anther ca. 7 mm long, and its anther sacs not evident at the base. He recognized three species in *Stapfiophyton*, including his two new species, *S. breviscapum* C. Chen and *S. degeneratum* C. Chen, and excluded three other species included by Li (1944) and Hu (1952). Chen (1984b) recognized eight species in the genus in China divided into two sections according to their habit: section *Fordiophyton* is erect and section *Repentia* C. Chen is prostrate.

In his revision of Phyllagathis for Indo-China and China, Hansen (1992) argued that Stapfiophyton was heterogeneous, stating that the type species, S. peperomiifolium, is similar to Fordiophyton in having raphides and in the dimorphic and unequal anthers with small pores. Stapfiophyton peperomiifolium was transferred to Fordiophyton by Hansen (1992). Thus, Stapfiophyton becomes a synonym of Fordiophyton. As to the other species previously placed in Stapfiophyton, Hansen (1992) agreed with Chen (1984a) that S. erectum and S. tetrandrum belonged to Phyllagathis. Because no material was available, the placement of S. breviscapum and S. degeneratum could not be decided. Stapfiophyton elattandrum was transferred to Phyllagathis, but treated as uncertain (Hansen, 1992).

Prior to Hansen (1992), Stapfiophyton was recognized as a distinct genus by most authors (Li, 1944; Hu, 1952; How, 1958; Chen, 1979, 1984a, 1984b; Wu, 1991). It differs from Fordiophyton in its longest anther ca. 7 mm long (vs. longer than 12 mm) and its anther sacs evident at the base (vs. not evident) (Li, 1944; Chen, 1984b). However, these characters are not sufficient to separate Stapfiophyton from Fordiophyton. During editing of the family Melastomataceae for the Flora of Guangdong, we agreed with Hansen (1992) that Stapfiophyton should be reduced to Fordiophyton and S. peperomiifolium transferred to Fordiophyton. Given this reduction of Stapfiophyton, the placement of Chen's two species S. breviscapum and S. degeneratum must be evaluated. After checking their types, we affirmed that these two species have eight dimorphic and unequal stamens with the anther connectives not calcarate at the base and fit into the morphological boundaries of Fordiophyton. Therefore, two new combinations are required.

Including two new combinations proposed here, Fordiophyton consists of 11 species in China and Vietnam: F. brevicaule C. Chen, F. breviscapum (C. Chen) Y. F. Deng & T. L. Wu, F. cordifolium C. Y. Wu ex C. Chen, F. degeneratum (C. Chen) Y. F. Deng & T. L. Wu, F. faberi Stapf, F. fordii (Oliver) Krasser, F. longipes Y. C. Huang ex C. Chen, F. multiflorum C. Chen, F. peperomiifolium (Oliver) C. Hansen, *F. repens* Y. C. Huang ex C. Chen, and *F. strictum* Diels.

- Fordiophyton Stapf, Ann. Bot. 6: 314. 1892. TYPE: Fordiophyton faberi Stapf (lectotype, designated here).
- Stapfiophyton H. L. Li, J. Arnold Arbor. 25: 28. 1944. Replaced name: Gymnagathis Stapf, Ann. Bot. 6: 315. 1892, non Gymnagathis Schauer, 1843. TYPE: Stapfiophyton peperomiaefolia (Oliver) H. L. Li.

Eleven species distributed in southern China and northern Vietnam.

Fordiophyton breviscapum (C. Chen) Y. F. Deng & T. L. Wu, comb. nov. Basionym: Stapfiophyton breviscapum C. Chen, Bull. Bot. Res. 4: 57. 1984. TYPE: China. Guangdong: Liannan, Baimang, "prope rivulas in locis udis regionis convallae montanae, infra dense rarove silvis," 20 Aug. 1958, Tan Peixiang (P. C. Tam) 59165 (holotype, IBSC).

Distribution. Fordiophyton breviscapum is endemic to China, known from Hunan and Guangdong. It occurs in wet sloping meadows by rivers, from 700 to 1150 m.

Fordiophyton breviscapum differs from F. peperomiifolium in its stem 10–20 cm (vs. shorter than 5 cm), 4-angular and 4-ribbed (vs. terete), leaves ovate to sublanceolate (vs. cordate), 5–8.5 cm (vs. 6–11 cm) long, 2–3.5 cm (vs. 3.5–7 cm) broad, base cuneate or obtuse (vs. cordate), apex acuminate (vs. acute), 3-nerved (vs. 7- to 9-nerved) (Chen, 1984a, 1984b).

Additional specimens examined. CHINA. Hunan: Yizhang xian, Mangshan, Pingkeng, Hongxingqiao, Huang Maoxian 112320 (IBSC); Yizhang xian, Mashan, Huoshaoao, Huang Maoxian 112352 (IBSC); Yizhang xian, Manshan, Laofengkeng, Huang Maoxia 111918 (IBSC); Yizhang xian, Jinquan xiang, Mangshan, Liang Baohan 83831 (IBSC). Guangdong: Liannan xian, Jinkeng xiang, Huangdong, W. Lingzui, Tan Peixiang 59562 (IBSC); Ruyuan xian, Luoyang xiang, near Datianjinshan, Huang Zhi 42909 (IBSC).

Fordiophyton degeneratum (C. Chen) Y. F. Deng & T. L. Wu, comb. nov. Basionym: Stapfiophyton degeneratum C. Chen, Bull. Bot. Res. 4: 58. 1984. TYPE: China. Guangxi: Fusui, Damingshan, "prope rivulas in convallis montibus saxorum calcareorum," 200–300 m, 2 May 1957, Chen Shaoqing (S. H. Chun) 12160 (holotype, IBK; isotype, IBSC).

*Distribution.* This species is known from Guangdong Province and Guangxi Zhuangzu Autonomous Region, China, growing on limestone cliffs or in wet places by rivers at elevations from 200 to 300 m.

Fordiophyton degeneratum is easily distinguished from other species in the genus by having four fertile stamens and four staminodes (Chen, 1984a, 1984b). Similar androecial characters also occur exceptionally in Phyllagathis elattandra Diels (Diels, 1932; Li, 1944; Chen, 1984a, 1984b) and Kerriothyrsus Hansen (1988). Phyllagathis ellatandra, considered an uncertain species in Phyllagathis by Hansen (1992), was included in Stapfiophyton (now Fordiophyton) by Li (1944) because of its anther connectives short-calcarate at the base. Laos monotypic Kerriothyrsus Hansen is characterized by having four fertile stamens and four staminodes and differs from Fordiophyton in its scorpioid inflorescence, olive-colored seed coat, and smooth testa. We place this species in Fordiophyton rather than Phyllagathis or Kerriothyrsus because its inflorescence is cymose-subracemose, the fertile stamens have anther connectives that are not calcarate, by the absence of stamen ventral appendages, and by a tuberculate seed testa.

Additional specimen examined. CHINA. Guangdong: Maoming xian, Pengqing xiang, Lingdengling, Zhanjiang Plant Exped. 4071 (IBSC).

Acknowledgments. We thank Nicholas Turland (MO) for his help with providing references for our studies. We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their comments. The project was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 30370109), the Natural Sciences Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (no. 980951), and a grant from the Director of the South

China Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Literature Cited

- Cellinese, N. 2002. Revision of the genus *Phyllagathis* (Melastomataceae: Sonerileae) I. The species in Burma, Thailand, Peninsular Malaysia and Sumatra. Blumea 47: 463–492.
- Chen, C. 1979. Melastomataceae. Pp. 77–136 in Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (editor), Flora of Yunnan, Vol. 2. Science Press, Beijing.
- . 1984a. Materia ad flora Melastomataceae sinensium. Bull. Bot. Res. 4: 33–68.
- ——. 1984b. Melastomataceae. In: C. Chen (editor), Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae 53(1): 135–293.
- Diels, L. 1932. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Melastomataceen Ostasiens. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 65: 97–119.
- Greuter, W., J. McNeill, F. R. Barrie, H. M. Burdet, V. Demoulin, T. S. Filgueiras, D. H. Nicolson, P. C. Silva, J. E. Skog, P. Trehane, N. J. Turland & D. L. Hawksworth (editors). 2000. International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Saint Louis Code). Regnum Veg. 138.
- Hansen, C. 1988. Kerriothyrsus, a new genus of Melastomataceae. Willdenowia 17(1-2): 153-157.
- . 1992 (published 1993). The genus *Phyllagathis* (Melastomataceae): Characteristics; Delimitation; the species in Indo-China and China. Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia 3, 4: 355–428.
- How, F. C. 1958. A Dictionary of the Families and General of Chinese Seed Plants. Science Press, Beijing.
- Hu, S. Y. 1952. Notes on the flora of China II. J. Arnold Arbor. 33: 166–178.
- Krasser, F. 1893. Melastomataceae. *In:* A. Engler & K. Prantl (editors), Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien III, 7: 130–199.
- Li, H. L. 1944. Studies in the Melastomataceae of China. J. Arnold Arbor. 25: 1–42.
- Renner, S. S. 1993. Phylogeny and classification of the Melastomataceae and Memecylaceae. Nordic J. Bot. 13: 519–540.
- Stapf, O. 1892. On the Sonerileae of Asia. Ann. Bot. 6: 291–323.
- Wu, C. Y. 1991. The areal-types of Chinese genera of seed plants. Acta Bot. Yunnan. Suppl.: 1–139.